1 2	Zachary M. Crosner (SBN 272295) Jamie Serb (SBN 289601) Chad Saunders (257810)	
3	CROSNER LEGAL, PC	
4	9440 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 301 Beverly Hills, CA 90210	
5	Tel: (866) 276-7637 Fax: (310) 510-6429	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Liliya Gotishan	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
10		
11	LILIYA GOTISHAN, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: CGC-21-596378
12	on ochan of an others similarly situated,	
13	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
14	v.	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND
15		COSTS
1617	KYO AUTISM THERAPY, LLC, a California limited liability company; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 26, 2023, the Court entered its Order granting Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs Furthermore, a Compliance Hearing is hereby set for September 13, 2024, at 10:30 a.m. in Department 302 of the San Francisco County Superior Court. A true copy of the Court's Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Dated: October 27, 2023 **CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.** Jamie Serb **Chad Saunders** Zach Crosner Attorneys for Plaintiff Liliya Gotishan

EXHIBIT A

1 Zachary M. Crosner (SBN 272295) Jamie Serb (SBN 289601) Chad Saunders (257810) OCT 262023 **CROSNER LEGAL, PC** 9440 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 301 Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Tel: (866) 276-7637 5 Fax: (310) 510-6429 Deputy Clerk 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff Liliya Gotishan 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 LILIYA GOTISHAN, as an individual and Case No.: CGC-21-596378 on behalf of all others similarly situated, 12 13 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION 14 SETTLEMENT AND REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS 15 16 KYO AUTISM THERAPY, LLC, a California limited liability company; and Date: September 14, 2023 17 DOES 1-50, inclusive, Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept.: 302 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL

The Court, having read the papers filed regarding Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and having heard argument regarding the Motion, hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter and over all parties to the action, including the members of the Settlement Class.
- 2. The Stipulation of Class and Representative Action Settlement and Release, attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Zachary M. Crosner (filed on or about December 21, 2022) (the "Settlement Agreement"), is the product of arms-length negotiations between the parties and the terms of the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The Settlement Agreement therefore is finally approved, and its terms incorporated herein. The Court orders the parties to the Settlement Agreement to perform forthwith their respective duties and obligations thereunder.
- 3. The Settlement Class, which was provisionally certified by the Court in its April 12, 2023 Order Granting Preliminary Approval, hereby is certified under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 for purposes of settlement only. The Settlement Class includes "All current and former non-exempt employees employed by Defendant Kyo Autism Therapy, LLC ("Kyo") in the State of California from November 10, 2017, through January 1, 2023."
- 4. The Court adjudges Plaintiff and the Participating Class Members to have released and forever discharged the Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement Agreement), to the fullest extent permitted by law, from all Claims asserted in the operative Complaint or that could have been asserted in the operative Complaint based on the facts alleged therein, including claims for: (i) failure to pay minimum wage in violation of Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1; (ii) failure to pay overtime in violation of Labor Code sections 510, 1194, and 1198; (iii) failure to provide meal periods and pay meal period premiums in violation of Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512; (iv) failure to provide rest breaks and pay rest break premiums, including nonpayment and underpayment, in violation of Labor Code section 226.7; (v) failure to timely pay final wages in violation of Labor Code sections 201, 202, and 203; (vi) failure to provide accurate wage statements in violation of Labor Code section 226; (vii) failure to reimburse business expenses in

 violation of Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802; (vii) violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq.; (ix) violation of the Private Attorney General Act, Labor Code section 2699, et seq. on the basis of violation of the foregoing Labor Code claims as well as any claims related to unlawful background checks under Labor Code 1024.5 and 432.7, and any violations of California □s sick leave requirements found in Labor Code Sections 245 through 248.5; and (x) any other wage and hour claims, or claims for violations of the Labor Code and or the Fair Labor Standards Act, that were asserted or could have been asserted based on the factual or legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, and that arose any time during the Class Period.

- 5. Plaintiff Liliya Gotishan only, in addition to the claims being released by all Participating Class Members and PAGA Employees, is adjudged to have released and forever discharged the Released Parties, to the fullest extent permitted by law, of and from any and all claims, known and unknown, asserted and not asserted, which Plaintiff had or may have against the Released Parties as of the date of execution of the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. One Class Member Niti Malhorta submitted a timely and valid request for exclusion. Accordingly, this individual is excluded from the Class and is not bound by the Settlement Agreement or this Order, except as to the release of claims under PAGA.
- 7. The Settlement Administrator is ordered to distribute to the participating Class Members their respective individual settlement payments from the Net Settlement Amount as provided in the Settlement Agreement. Funds attributable to uncashed checks that remain after the check void date shall be forwarded to the California State Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund. No funds shall revert to any Defendant.
- 8. The Court further orders that the Class Members be provided with notice of Entry of Judgment under California Rule of Court 3.771(b), and the Settlement Administrator shall post a copy of both this Order and the Judgment on its website for sixty (60) days.
- 9. The Court approves an award of attorney's fees to Class Counsel in the amount of \$338,333.33, and an award of costs and expenses in the amount of \$14,470.08. Such amounts shall be paid as provided in the Settlement Agreement.

/////

/////

28 | /////

- 10. The Court approves a service payment to plaintiff and Class Representative Liliya Gotishan in the amount of \$10,000.00, and the Settlement Administrator is ordered to make such payment consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Settlement Agreement provides the Settlement Administrator, Atticus Administration, LLC, shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount in an amount not to exceed \$20,000.00. As set forth in the Declaration of Bryn Bridley, the Settlement Administrator is owed \$20,000.00 for services rendered and to be rendered in administering the settlement. The Court therefore orders that Atticus be paid the amount of \$20,000.00 consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. A compliance hearing is set for September 13, 2024 at 9:30 a.m. in Department 302 of the San Francisco County Superior Court. The Parties are ordered to file a compliance report at least ten (10) days before the compliance hearing.
- 13. Under California Rule of Court 3.769(h), without affecting the finality of this Order in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction over: (1) implementation and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement pursuant to further orders of this Court until the final judgment contemplated becomes effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties has been performed under the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (2) any other action necessary to conclude this settlement and to implement the Settlement Agreement; and (3) the enforcement, construction, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Neither this Order nor the Settlement Agreement upon which it is based are an admission or concession by any party of any fault, omission, liability or wrongdoing. This Order is not a finding of the validity or invalidity of any claims in this action or a determination of any wrongdoing by any party. The final approval of the parties' settlement will not constitute any opinion, position or determination of this Court as to the merits of the claims or defenses of any party.

15 Plaintiff is ordered to file a [Proposed] Judgment within ten (10) days of this Order. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 10/25/23Judge of the Superior Court RICHARD ULMER

- 4 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL

· •

PROOF OF SERVICE

Liliya Gotishan v. Kyo Autism Therapy, LLC San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-21-596378

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 9440 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 301, Beverly Hills, CA 90210.

On October 27, 2023, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

on the interested parties in this action as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION. I transmitted copies of the above-referenced document(s) from the email address maria@crosnerlegal.com to the interested parties in this action by electronic transmission. Said electronic transmission was reported as complete and without error.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on October 27, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.

Maria Monterrey

1	SERVICE LIST LILIYA GOTISHAN V KYO AUTISM THERAPY, LLC,	
2	San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-21-596378	
3		
4	Hieu T. Williams Attorneys for Defendants Jesse D. Sutz KYO AUTISM THERAPY, LLC	
5	HIRSCHFELD KRAEMER LLP	
6	456 Montgomery Street, Suite 2200, San Francisco, CA 94104	
7	Tel.: (415) 835-9000 Fax: (415) 834-0443	
8	jsutz@hkemploymentlaw.com HWilliams@hkemploymentlaw.com	
9	11 w maniste, incompto y monta w .com	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	PROOF OF SERVICE	